

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,660	01/15/2004	Muammer Yazici	MBO-142.1 1950	
20028	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
	CE OF BARRY R LIPS	BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
			1724	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***		Application No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/760,660		YAZICI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•			[\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Scott Bushey	shoot with the or	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2004.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-12-04</u> .	5) 🔲 1		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-6, and Species A, as depicted by Figures 1-3, upon which each of elected claims 1-6 reads thereon, in the reply filed on June 11, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akhtar et al '612 (Figs. 10 and 11; col. 6, lines 47-67; col. 7, lines 1-7; col. 10, lines 38-42; col. 13, lines 9-13, 26-49; col. 14, lines 29-33, 39-41, 53-67; col. 15, lines 1-2)

Applicant should note that the reference clearly discloses a combination of a sound attenuating apparatus arranged on the top outlet end of an air handling heat exchanger (note Figs. 10 and 11 and the discussion applicable thereto as cited above). As broadly recited, applicant's claimed cooling tower is anticipated by the air handling heat exchanger of the reference.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/760,660 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akhtar et al '612.

Akhtar et al '612 (Figs. 10 and 11) as applied above substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 6, except for the outer annular wall being cylindrical and extending upwardly to the air outlet of the attenuator. While Figs. 10 and 11 of the reference clearly indicate that the outer annular wall is cylindrical, the reference does indicate that the outlets from the outer annular wall are formed in the sides of a cubic housing. Wherein modifying the shape of the outlet walls from cubic form to a cylindrical outlet would not effect the air flow therefrom in any appreciable manner, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the

Application/Control Number: 10/760,660

Art Unit: 1724

time of the invention, to modify the shape of the outer wall section of the reference apparatus to cylindrical, since such would eliminate the need for additional unnecessary structure. It is noted that when the attenuator structure as depicted by Figures 10 and 11 of the reference is used as an outlet silencer rather than an inlet silencer, as suggested by the reference discussion, elimination of the squared housing walls would actually promote smoother outlet flow and reduce noise caused by flow through the grills of a squared housing.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1724

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 6-30-04

6-30-09